# Challenges for Imputing Missing Covariates in Meta-Regression

Jacob M. Schauer jms@u.northwestern.edu Institute for Policy Research Northwestern University

NSF Grant #1841075

SRSM 2019

# A Simple Meta-Regression

Is a reading intervention more/less effective for low-SES students?

- Effect size esimates Y<sub>i</sub>.
- Variance of effect estimates  $v_i$ .
- Did study *i* involve (mostly) students who are low-SES?
  - $X_i = 1$  for low-SES students.
  - $X_i = 0$  for not low-SES students.
  - $X_i$  missing if information not given.
- Subgroup analysis/ANOVA (Hedges, 1982)
- Are the reasons the  $X_i$  are missing related to things you observe or not?

# Missing (Completely) at Random



### Handling Data Missing at Random

- **Complete case analysis:** Only analyze studies for which we observe effect sizes, variances, and predictors.
  - Common approach in practice (Tipton, Pustejovsky, & Ahmadi, 2019).
  - May only be a few complete cases, so **standard errors will be** large.
  - Unless the data are missing *completely* at random, **estimates can be biased** (Pigott, 2001; Rubin, 1976).
- Multiple imputation: Fill in values for missing predictors.
  - Strong theoretical/empirical justification (Little & Rubin, 1987; Rubin, 1996).
  - Commonly used in many other fields.
  - Tons of great software (e.g., mice in R)

# Multiple Imputation

- Fill in values for the missing  $X_i$  according to some predictive model.
  - Fill in multiple m values for each missing  $X_i, \, {\rm creating} \, m$  complete datasets.
  - Run regression model on each dataset.
  - Pool regression models across datasets.
- Accuracy/validity can depend on the way in which we fill in the  $X_i$  (Little & Rubin, 1987).
  - Predict  $X_i$  given  $Y_i$  and/or  $v_i$  (and other observed data)
  - We want to use **appropriate** models to fill in X<sub>i</sub>.

# Example: Imputing $X_i$



# Example: Imputing $X_i$



# (Statistical) Compatibility

- In order guarantee MI produces valid inferences, imputation models need to be **congenial** with the regression model (Meng, 1994; Liu et al., 2014).
  - What the  $Y_i$  and  $v_i$  say about the  $X_i$  should reflect what the  $X_i$  say about the  $Y_i.$
- Explicitly using the analysis model as part of the imputation model can help ensure congenial imputations (Bartlett, et al., 2015).
- While common software are flexible, none provide congenial imputations for meta-regression/sub-group analyses.
  - Only on my laptop for now!

#### Implications

- Using standard MI software results in **uncongenial imputations** for meta-regression.
- Analyses using **uncongenial imputations** are not guaranteed to produce unbiased or appropriately precise results.
- Using out-of-the-box MI software (e.g., mice) can result in bias.
- **Compatible imputations are possible** and can provide unbiased and more precise analyses, but are not widely available (yet).

# Forthcoming Findings

- If there is a very small amount of missing data, complete-case analysis and out-of-the-box software may work reasonably well.
- If there is a moderate to large amount of missing data:
  - complete case analyses will be biased (or impossible)
  - **out-of-the-box MI software will also be biased**, but can be less biased and more precise than complete-case analyses
  - MI with compatible imputations will have very small or no bias, and will often be more precise than than incompatible imputations.

Thank you! jms@u.northwestern.edu

### Works Cited

- Bartlett, J. W., Seaman, S. R., White, I. R., & Carpenter, J. R. (2015). Multiple imputation of covariates by fully conditional specification: Accommodating the substantive model. *Statistical Methods in Medical Research*, 24(4), 462–487.
- Little, R. J. A. & Rubin, D. B. (1987). *Statistical Analysis with Missing Data*. New York: Wiley.
- Meng, Xiao-Li. (1994). Multiple imputation inferences with uncongenial sources of input. *Statistical Science*, 9(4), 538–558.
- Pigott, T. D. (2001). Missing predictors in models of effect size. Evaluation & the Health Professions, 24(3), 277—307.
- Rubin, D. B. (1996). Multiple imputation after 18+ Years. Journal of the American Statistical Association, 91, 473–489.

# (Statistical) Compatibility

The model we use to impute  $X_i$  must be **compatible** with the regression model.

• The imputation model  $g(X_i|Y_i, v_i, \eta)$  and regression model  $f(Y_i|X_i, v_i, \tau^2, \beta)$  must imply a joint distribution  $p(X_i, Y_i|v_i, \eta, \beta, \tau^2)$  that exists.

In meta-regression, a compatible model can be written as (Bartlett et al., 2015):

$$g(X|Y,v,\beta,\tau^2) \propto f(Y|X,v,\beta,\tau^2)$$

Compatible Imputations for Predictors: Example

Imputing a single binary X:

- 1. Fit meta-regression model on complete cases.
- 2. Regress Y on X for complete cases.
- 3. Draw  $\beta, \tau^2$  from their posterior distributions.

4. Draw 
$$X \sim Bernoulli(\pi)$$
 where  $\pi = \frac{f(Y|1, v, \beta, \tau^2)}{f(Y|1, v, \beta, \tau^2) + f(Y|0, v, \beta, \tau^2)}$ 

5. Repeat 3-4 m times.

Standard implementations of MI do not have options for imputations that are compatible for meta-regression.

# Simulation Details

- $\beta_0 = 0.025$
- $\beta_1=0.575$
- $\tau^2 = 0.005$
- $v_i \in [0.003, 0.1]$
- k = 50
- $X_i = 1$  for 30 studies (truth)
- Missing about 50% of  $X_i$ 
  - Generate 5,000 datasets  $(X, Y)_j$  according the the model.
  - Randomly delete  $\boldsymbol{X}_i$  with a probability that depends on
  - Run mice and to impute and estimate regression model.
  - Use compatible imputation model to estimate regression model.

# Results: Bias



#### Results: Standard Errors

